Effect of B$_2$O$_3$ content on crack initiation under Vickers indentation test
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1. Introduction

Crack initiation and crack propagation are the most important factors determining strength of glass. It is well known that glass shows very high theoretical strength close to 10 GPa. However, once a crack initiates in the glass surface, stress concentration occurs at the crack tip, resulting in catastrophic fracture by applied stress much lower than the theoretical strength. So evaluation of crack initiation and crack propagation is of much interest for glass engineers and glass scientists.

Crack propagation is usually evaluated by measuring fracture toughness, $K_{IC}$. It is expected that glass with higher $K_{IC}$ has higher breaking strength. Although various glass compositions show almost the same value of $K_{IC}$, there is much difference in susceptibility of fracture in industrial and practical use among glass compositions. It is supposed that the difference is due to a difference of crack initiation. Therefore, both characteristics of crack initiation and crack propagation must be evaluated for understanding glass strength.

Some methods for evaluating crack initiation of glass were proposed. Wada et al. proposed "crack resistance (CR)" of glass against Vickers indentation. When a glass sample is indented with a Vickers diamond indenter, radial cracks initiate around the indentation at a given indentation load. The value of CR is defined as the load for the radial cracks to initiate, indicating the difficulty in crack initiation. On the other hand, Lawn et al. proposed the ratio of hardness to fracture toughness as a simple index of brittleness, and Sehgal et al. developed a convenient method to estimate the "brittleness" index of glass by using the ratio of characteristic crack length to the length of indentation diagonal.

In our previous study, CR of various commercial glass compositions are investigated by using Vickers indentations, and compared with other mechanical properties. The value of CR does not have a clear relationship with $K_{IC}$, but it has a clear relationship with recovery of indentation depth (RID). The value of RID is calculated by using a difference between indentation depth before and after heat-treatment, and it shows degree of densification. The value increased with increasing RID. It is concluded that densification should reduce residual stress around the indentation to prevent cracks from initiating.

As mentioned above, a clear relationship between CR and conventional mechanical properties has not been found, but it was found that there is difference in CR between glass with more B$_2$O$_3$ content and glass with less B$_2$O$_3$ content. The relationship between CR and $K_{IC}$ is shown in Fig. 1. There is a trend that CR increases with increasing $K_{IC}$ among the glass containing less than 5% B$_2$O$_3$. On the other hand, glasses containing more B$_2$O$_3$ show higher CR compared with this trend. Therefore, it is supposed that B$_2$O$_3$ content may increase CR. However, there is no report on the effect of B$_2$O$_3$ content on CR. In the present study, CR of glass in the ternary system SiO$_2$–B$_2$O$_3$–Na$_2$O system ("SBN" series) and in non-aluminoalumoborosilicate glass system ("SAB" series) is evaluated, and effect of B$_2$O$_3$ content on CR is discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1 Preparation of glass sample

Three glass compositional series were selected in this study. Two of them are simple ternary series of SiO$_2$–B$_2$O$_3$–Na$_2$O (SBN series). The compositions in the SBN series employed in this study are shown in Fig. 2. The "SBN1" series is expressed by (80–40$x$)SiO$_2$–40$x$B$_2$O$_3$–20Na$_2$O ($x$ = 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0) and the "SBN2" series is expressed by 80SiO$_2$–...
10B₂O₃ – (20 – 10y)Na₂O (y = 0, 0.5, and 1.0). Phase separation was not observed with microscope in all of the samples prepared in this study. The other system is non-alkaline aluminoborosilicate system, “SAB series”. The glass compositions in this SAB series correspond to those obtained by substituting SiO₂ with B₂O₃ by 2.5 mol\% or 5.0 mol\% in composition of a commercial non-alkaline aluminoborosilicate glass. The general composition of the commercial glass is as follows: 70SiO₂, 10Al₂O₃, 10B₂O₃, 6CaO, 3SrO, 1BaO (by mol\%), and other minor components. Therefore, the glass composition in the SAB series investigated in this study is expressed approximately by the equation of (70 – z)SiO₂ – 10Al₂O₃ – (10 + z)B₂O₃ – 6CaO – 3SrO – 1BaO (z = 0.0, 2.5, and 5.0). Each glass composition is rewritten in molar percentage in Table 1.

Glass samples were prepared by melting the batch of high-grade raw materials which are used for manufacturing glass for electrical use. For the SBN system, the powders of the raw material SiO₂, B₂O₃, and Na₂CO₃ were weighed appropriately, and a little of refining agent corresponding to a few tenth percentage was added to prepare batches. The batches were mixed thoroughly and melted in a 500 cc Pt crucibles at 1100 to 1500°C for about 6 h in an electric furnace. The melting temperature for each composition was chosen according to the viscosity of the melt. For the SAB series, the raw material SiO₂, Al₂O₃, B₂O₃, CaCO₃, SrCO₃, BaCO₃, and other minor components were used. The powders were melted at 1500°C for about 22 h. After the melting mentioned above, the glass melts were poured onto a carbon plate, and then placed in an electric furnace to cool slowly. Glass transition temperature, Tₙ, was determined by a dilatometer. The cooled glass was heated up to the temperature of (T_g + 30°C), held for 30 min, and then cooled by 3°C/min to obtain annealed glasses. Samples of the glasses were ground, lapped with Al₂O₃ slurry, and then finished with cerium oxide to get optically smooth surfaces, which were used for the following indentation tests.

### 2.2 Measurement of glass properties

The properties, that is, density (ρ), glass transition temperature (Tₙ), Young’s modulus (E), and bulk modulus (K), are measured. Density was measured by Archimedes method. Young’s modulus and shear modulus (Г), were determined by cube-resonance method.³ Bulk modulus was calculated by using the values of E and Г. The Vickers hardness was measured with a microhardness tester (MXT50, Matsuzawa Seiki Corp., Japan). The applied load was 100 gf.

The value of CR was measured by Vickers indention tests.¹ The glass sample was indented by a Vickers diamond indenter in air (25°C, 30% relative humidity), and the corners where radial cracks appeared were counted using an optical microscope. When glass sample is indented, various types of crack initiate around the indenter. Only radial cracks are counted for determining crack resistance, because the cracks normal to glass surface are critical to fracture of glass. The percentage of crack initiation was determined as the ratio of the number of the corners with the cracks to the total number of the corners of indentations. The applied load was increased step-by-step and twenty indentations were made for each applied load. The load at which the percentage would be 50% is determined as “crack resistance” or CR. The details of the method to measure CR are described in our previous paper.⁴
Densification was evaluated by shrinkage of indentation through heat-treatment. The shrinkage is attributed to annealing recovery of densified glass under high compressive stress. The procedure to measure the recovery of indentation depth is shown in Fig. 3. A glass sample was indented with a Knoop indenter at an applied load of 100 gf, and the depth of the indentation was measured by an atomic force microscope, AFM (Nanoscope IIIA Degial Instrument, USA). A Knoop indenter was used because of its tendency to inhibit crack formation. The indented sample was heat-treated at temperature of 0.9 × Tg (in °C) for 2 hours, and the indentation depth was measured again. The ratio of the depth change to the depth before the heat-treatment was defined as recovery of indentation depth or RID as the following equation,

\[ \text{RID} = \frac{d_{\text{before}} - d_{\text{after}}}{d_{\text{before}}} = \frac{\Delta d}{d_{\text{before}}}. \]

Here, \( d_{\text{before}} \) and \( d_{\text{after}} \) are Knoop indentation depths before and after the heat-treatment, respectively, and \( \Delta d \) is difference between the depths. The details of the method are also described in our previous paper.6

2.3 NMR measurement

By using NMR, coordination state of boron was determined. It is well known that there are two types of coordination state of boron in glass: 3-coordination state ([B]3) and 4-coordination state ([B]4). 11B NMR spectra were collected with a Chem magnetics CMX-200 spectrometer (4.7 T) at a resonance frequency of 64.25 MHz. The 90° pulse length is 4.5 μs. All spectra were recorded using 0.5 μs pulse delay. Relaxation times of 3 s was used. The fractions of 4-coordinated boron, \( N_4 \), and 3-coordinated boron, \( N_3 \), were obtained by simulating the NMR line shape with a home-built software developed by National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Japan.10

3. Results

Results of \( \rho, T_g, E, K, \) and \( H_v \) are shown in Table 2. In the SBN1 series, density increases with increasing \( x \), shows a maximum at \( x = 0.5 \), and then decreases. The values of \( T_g, E, K, \) and \( H_v \) show maxima at \( x = 0.5 \). In the SBN2 series, there is no change in density as a function of \( y \). The values of \( T_g, E, K, \) and \( H_v \) increases with increasing \( y \) (increasing \( \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \)). In the SAB series, density does not change with increasing \( z \), similar to the SBN2 series. However, unlike the SBN2 series, the values of \( T_g, E, \) and \( K \) decrease with increasing \( z \).

| Table 2. Density (\( \rho \)), glass transition temperature (\( T_g \)), Young’s modulus (\( E \)), and bulk modulus (\( K \)), Vickers hardness (\( H_v \)), and crack resistance (\( CR \)) of the samples in the SBN series and the SAB series |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| \( \rho \) (g/cm³) | \( T_g \) (°C) | \( E \) (GPa) | \( K \) (GPa) | \( H_v \) (GPa) | \( CR \) (g) |
| SBN1 | x = 0.0 | 2.39 | 483 | 60.0 | 35.7 | 3.7 | 1840 |
| | x = 0.125 | 2.45 | 522 | 68.5 | 43.2 | 4.5 | 270 |
| | x = 0.25 | 2.49 | 553 | 77.0 | 44.8 | 4.9 | 70 |
| | x = 0.5 | 2.52 | 571 | 80.0 | 50.6 | 5.4 | 30 |
| | x = 0.75 | 2.49 | 554 | 79.4 | 47.3 | 5.1 | 40 |
| | x = 1.0 | 2.44 | 527 | 74.6 | 46.1 | 4.9 | 70 |
| SBN2 | y = 0.0 | 2.39 | 483 | 60.0 | 35.7 | 3.7 | 1840 |
| | y = 0.5 | 2.42 | 549 | 72.3 | 41.9 | 4.2 | 940 |
| | y = 1.0 | 2.40 | 598 | 77.0 | 43.1 | 5.1 | 360 |
| SAB system | z = 0.0 | 2.49 | 709 | 71.4 | 46.6 | 5.6 | 1150 |
| | z = 2.5 | 2.49 | 692 | 69.7 | 46.1 | 5.6 | 1420 |
| | z = 5.0 | 2.48 | 676 | 68.4 | 46.0 | 5.5 | 2030 |

Experimental uncertainties are as follows. \( d \): ±0.01 g/cm³, \( T_g \): ±2°C, \( E \): ±1 GPa, \( K \): ±1 GPa, \( H_v \): ±0.3 GPa.

These figures, the load at which the percentage would be 50% is determined as crack resistance or \( CR \). The results of \( CR \) are also shown in Table 2. Relationships between \( CR \) and each compositional parameter (\( x, y, \) and \( z \)) are shown in Fig. 5. Results of \( CR \) are also plotted in this figure for comparison. In the SBN1 series, \( CR \) decreases with increasing \( x \), until \( x = 0.5 \). On the other hand, \( CR \) increases with increasing \( z \) in the region of \( x > 0.5 \). Therefore, \( CR \) shows a minimum at \( x = 0.5 \). Note that the trend of \( CR \) is opposite to that of \( \rho \). According to Sehgal et al.,11 “brittleness” index, or susceptibility of cracking, has strong relationship with density. Brittness of normal glass with density of more than 2.4 g/cm³1 increases with density. The trend agrees with that of the SBN1 series because higher \( CR \) corresponds to low brittleness index. On the other hand, there is little change in density in both the SBN2 series and the SAB series. However, the trend of \( CR \) with increase of \( \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \) content is different between these two series. The value of \( CR \) decreases with increasing \( y \) in the SBN2 series while \( CR \) increases with increasing \( z \). The diagonal length of Vickers indentation, \( 2a \), the depth of Knoop indenter before and after the heat-treatment, \( d_{\text{before}} \) and \( d_{\text{after}} \), and \( R_{\text{ID}} \) are shown in Table 3. In the SBN1 series, \( R_{\text{ID}} \) decreases with increasing \( x \) until \( x = 0.5 \), shows a minimum at \( x = 0.5 \), and then increased. This trend is similar to that of \( CR \), and opposite to that of \( \rho \). In the SBN2 or the SAB series, \( R_{\text{ID}} \) does not change much with increasing \( y \) or \( z \), respectively, although we found the changes in \( CR \) in both series.

Examples of NMR spectra in the SBN1, the SBN2, and the SAB series are shown in Fig. 6. These spectra of glass containing \( \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \) consist of two signals. One is a sharp signal (signal A in the figure) and the other is the broad doublet signal (signal B ~ B'). The former is originated from the four-coordinated boron, [B]3, and the latter from the three-coordinated boron, [B]4. Large amount of boron is found as [B]4 in the SBN1 and SBN2 series while large amount of boron is found as [B]3 in the SAB series. The ratio of the amount of [B]3 or [B]4 to the total amount of B, \( N_4 \) or \( N_3 \), respectively, is measured by deconvoluting the spectra and integrating each area. The values of \( N_4 \) and \( N_3 \)
are shown in Table 4. Dell et al.\textsuperscript{13} showed that the ratio of $^{11}$B can be predicted from the combination of simple structural groups. For $rNa_2O$–$B_2O_3$–$4SiO_2$ glasses ($k \leq 8$), $N_4$ is $r$, when $r \leq 1/2 + K/16$, and $N_4$ is $1/2 + K/16$, when $1/2 + K/16 \leq r \leq 1/2 + K/4$. The results calculated by this equation are also shown in Table 4. The results in this study agree well with calculated values. The amount of the $^{11}$B unit or the $^{11}$B unit is determined as the molar content of $B_2O_3$ multiplied by $N_4$ or $N_4$, and denoted as B[4] or B[3], respectively. The amounts of B[3] and B[4] are also shown in Table 4, and plotted against each compositional parameter in Fig. 7. In the SBN1 series, B[4] increases with increasing $x$ until $x < 0.5$, while B[3] does not increase. On the other hand, in the region of $x > 0.5$, B[3] increases with increasing $x$ more than B[4]. It is well known that the coordination state of boron changes by an addition of alkaline oxide as below:

\[ [BO_3]^+ + 1/2Na_2O \rightarrow [BO_4]^- + Na^+ . \]

Here, [BO$_3]^+$ and [BO$_4]^-$ indicate the $^{11}$B triangle unit and the $^{11}$B tetrahedral unit, respectively. Since the molar amount of Na$_2$O is more than that of B$_2$O$_3$ in the range of $x$ from 0.0 to 0.5, the added amount of B$_2$O$_3$ changes to $^{11}$B unit. At the composition of $x = 0.5$ (that is, 60SiO$_2$–20B$_2$O$_3$–20Na$_2$O), the amount of B$_2$O$_3$ equals to that of Na$_2$O, so the $^{11}$B unit increases more in the range of $x > 0.5$ because the amount of Na$_2$O is not enough to convert all the B[3] into B[4] through Eq. (2). Since the amount of Na$_2$O is much more than that of B$_2$O$_3$ in the SBN2 series, the $^{11}$B unit increases with increasing the B$_2$O$_3$ content.
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**Fig. 4.** A relationship between the applied load and the percentage of crack initiation. (a) SBN1 series, (b) SBN2 series, and (c) SAB series.
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**Fig. 5.** Change of density and crack resistance as a function of glass compositional parameter ($x$, $y$, and $z$). (a) SBN1 series, (b) SBN2 series, and (c) SAB series.
Table 3. The diagonal of Vickers indentation (2a), the depth of Knoop indentation before and after the heat-treatment at 0.9 × Tc (°C) for 2 h, (d_{before} and d_{after}), and recovery of indentation depth (RID). Both of the indentations are made at the load of 100 g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.125</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.75</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 1.00</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y = 0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y = 1.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAB 0.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAB 2.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAB 5.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental uncertainties are as follows. 2a: ±0.3 μm, d_{before} or d_{after}: ±0.03 μm, RID: ±3%.

Table 4. The ratio of 4-coordinated boron and 3-coordinated boron, N3 and N4, and the amount of the [3]B unit or the [4]B unit. The values of the column “N4 (Dell)” are calculated according to the estimation by Dell et al.12

Fig. 6. Examples of NMR spectra in the SBN1 series, the SBN2 series, and the SAB series. (a) SBN1 series (x = 0.5), (b) the SBN2 series (y = 1.0), and (c) the SAB series (z = 5.0).

Fig. 7. A relationship between each compositional parameter and the amount of B[3] or B[4]. (a) the SBN1 series, (b) SBN2 series, and (c) the SAB series. B[3] and B[4] denote the molar fractions of [3]B unit and [4]B unit, respectively.

In the SAB series, which contains no alkaline ion, B[3] increased with increasing B2O3. The [3]B-[14]B conversion reaction would also occur in non-alkaline glass due to the reaction with alkaline-earth ion (R2+:)

\[ 2[BO_3] + R'O \rightarrow 2[BO_4]^- + R^{2+} \]  (3)

Yamashita et al. showed that alkaline-earth atom reacts more preferably with Al2O3, not with B2O3 in non-alkaline aluminoborosilicate glass.15 In the SAB series, the amount of Al2O3 is 15% and the total amount of R'O is about 10%, so it is estimated that all of R'O reacts with Al2O3. Therefore, the reaction shown in Eq. (3) does not occur and then B[3] increases with increasing B2O3.
As mentioned above, CR has a strong relationship with density. In the case of the glass series without density change, effect of B₂O₃ on CR depends on the glass series. Comparing Fig. 5 with Fig. 7, it is supposed that CR increases with increasing B[3] or with decreasing B[4].

4. Discussion

In the previous study, we found that CR has a clear relationship with densification, or RID.[4] This should be due to the fact that densification decreases the residual stress beneath the indentation,[15] in the increase in crack resistance. The residual stress can be derived from a simple cavity model.[6] According to this model, the residual stress, σ, can be expressed as follows;

\[ \sigma = K \cdot \Delta V / V \]  

Here, σ is the estimated residual stress, K is the bulk modulus, and \( \Delta V \) is the indentation volume and \( V \) is the volume of plastic zone around the indentation. By modifying Eq. (4), the residual stresses around the indentation of various commercial glasses were estimated in the previous study.[4] In the previous study, the followings are assumed for the modification: (1) the diameter of hemispherical plastic zone is equal to that of the Vickers indentation diagonal, 2a,[17] (2) \( \Delta V \) equals to the volume of the indentation after the heat-treatment, and (3) \( d_{\text{after}} \) which is depth of Knoop indentation after the heat-treatment of the RID measurement, is proportional to the value of \( d'_{\text{after}} \) which is depth of Vickers indentation after the heat-treatment for all of the glass compositions (\( d'_{\text{after}} = 1.34 \cdot d_{\text{after}} \)). Finally, the residual stress around the indentation was estimated to be as follows:

\[ \sigma = 0.427 \cdot K \cdot d_{\text{after}} / a. \]  

Details of the assumption were described in our previous paper.[4] The calculated residual stress is shown in Fig. 8. The results of the commercial glass in the previous study[3] are also shown in this figure for comparison. The value of CR decreases with increasing the residual stress in the SBN series and the SAB series, similar to the results of commercial glasses.

As shown in Eq. (5), the residual stress is affected by bulk modulus and the value of \( d_{\text{after}} / a \). The value of \( d_{\text{after}} / a \) correlates with the ratio of the cavity radius to the radius of plastic zone. The smaller value of \( 1/a \), or the smaller hardness, indicates the more plastic deformation, while the smaller \( d_{\text{after}} \) indicates larger annealing recovery, or less shear flow. Therefore, the value of \( d_{\text{after}} / a \) is one measure of contribution of densification. The larger contribution of densification corresponds to the smaller value of \( d_{\text{after}} / a \), resulting in the smaller residual stress which would be driving force of crack initiation. The RID values measured in this study were also another measure of densification, which is proportional to the value of \( d_{\text{after}} / a \).

As mentioned above, it seems that effect of B₂O₃ on CR depends on the coordination state of boron. However, since network structure should affect CR, effects of SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ also have to be taken into account. Then, by multivariable linear regression analysis for logCR as a function of CR[4]B₂O₃ and molar fraction of network component \( NWF \), respectively, and C is an intercept. The regression coefficients of \( \beta (NWF) \) are obtained by multivariable linear analysis.

\[ \log_{10} CR = \sum \beta_i (NWF) \cdot R (NWF) + C \]  

where \( \beta (NWF) \) and \( R (NWF) \) are regression coefficient and molar fraction of the network component \( NWF \), respectively, and C is an intercept. The regression coefficients and the intercept are listed in Table 5. The value of \( \log_{10} CR \) is plotted against the value of \( \sum \beta_i (NWF) \cdot R (NWF) + C \), in Fig. 9. The correlation coefficient for the analysis of 0.99 is obtained. The effects of \( ^{[1]} \)B₂O₃ and SiO₂ are positive while the effects of \( ^{[4]} \)B₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ are negative. It indicates that \( ^{[1]} \)B₂O₃ and SiO₂ increase CR and that \( ^{[4]} \)B₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ decrease.

It is considered that the large effect of \( ^{[1]} \)B₂O₃ is due to the following three factors: single bond strength, openness, and planar structure. Since crack initiation corresponds to break of network bond, it is reasonable that high single bond strength increase CR. The order of single bond strength with oxygen[18] \( ^{[1]} \)B-O (498 kJ/mol) > Si-O (444 kJ/mol) > Al-O (293-423 kJ/mol) > \( ^{[4]} \)B-O (373 kJ/mol) agree with that of the effect on \( \log_{10} CR \). However, the single bond strength is not much different among them. Therefore, the openness and the planar

![Graph of estimated residual stress vs. CR](image)
structure of $^{[3]}\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ unit have more effects on $CR$ than the bond strength. The open structure leads to an easy densification by indentation, resulting in a small residual stress. The tetrahedra of $^{[4]}\text{BO}_3$ and $\text{AlO}_4$ are accompanied with modifier cations in the glass network for charge compensation, to make the network more packed. On the other hand, the SiO$_2$ tetrahedron and the $^{[3]}\text{BO}_3$ planar triangle do not need such charge compensation, so they make open network structure. The specific planar structure of $^{[3]}\text{B}$ unit would also contribute to a large densification. The introduction of the $^{[3]}\text{B}$ planar triangle unit into main SiO$_2$ network makes the network less rigid, which allows densification under a stress. It is deduced that the open network and the planar structure cause an easier densification. For further discussion, it will be needed to get an insight into the intermediate range order of $^{[1]}\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ containing glass under a stress.

5. Conclusion

By using glasses in the SiO$_2$–B$_2$O$_3$–Na$_2$O ternary (SBN) series and non alkaline aluminoborosilicate (SAB) series, effect of B$_2$O$_3$ content on crack resistance ($CR$) was investigated. The value of $CR$ has a relationship with density in the SBN system. In the SBN2 series where density does not change with B$_2$O$_3$ content, $CR$ decreases with increasing B$_2$O$_3$. On the other hand, $CR$ increases with increasing B$_2$O$_3$ content in the SAB series where density does not change, neither. From the results of NMR spectra, it is found that the reason of the difference is due to the difference in the coordination state of boron. The value of $CR$ increases with increasing 3-coordinated boron $^{[3]}\text{B}$ and decreasing 4-coordinated boron $^{[4]}\text{B}$. The value of $CR$ has a relationship with the residual stress around the indentation. The open network and the planar structure of $^{[3]}\text{B}$ unit should result in an increase in contribution of the densification and a decrease in the residual stress.
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